

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,769	09/27/2001	Marietta B. Helmeke	DD-043-US-01	3420
75	90 04/04/2003			
H.B. Fuller Company			EXAMINER	
Patent Department 1200 Willow Lake Blvd.			SHORT, PATRICIA A	
P.O. Box 64683				
St. Paul, MN 55164-0683			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	6
			DATE MAILED: 04/04/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	09/965 769	plicant(s) Helmeke			
Onice Action Summary	Examinar	Group Art Unit			
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET 1 OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPIRE three,	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE			
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state</li> </ul>	eply within the statutory minimum o	f thirty (30) days will be considered timely.			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 bru. This action is FINAL.	ary 6,2003				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
Claim(s) $1-29$	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above claim(s)					
☐ Claim(s)					
Claim(s) 1-29	is/are rejected				
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/are objected to				
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election			
Application Papers		requirement.			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	a Ravious PTO 049				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.					
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of t</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbe</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the Inte</li> </ul>	the priority documents have be				
*Certified copies not received:					
Attachment(s)					
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	o(s) □ Intensis	ew Summary, PTO-413			
☑ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review PTO-048		or informativation, P10-152			

Office Action Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,769

Art Unit: 1712

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heider in view of Brauer. The rejection is applied as in the previous Office action. Applicant argues that the references do not suggest the use of an amorphous polyester polyol that is the reaction product of neopentyl glycol, hexanediol and at least one of phthalic anhydride or phthalic acid. While Heider does not exemplify an amorphous polyester polyol comprising the reaction product of phthalic acid/anhydride, neopentyl glycol and hexane diol, amorphous polyester polyols comprising the reaction product of phthalic acid/anhydride and glycol mixtures that include neopentyl glycol are exemplified. It would have been obvious to use similar amorphous polyester polyol comprising the reaction produce of phthalic acid/anhydride and glycol mixtures that include neopentyl glycol, such as commercially available Agent<sup>TM</sup> 2227-100, as the amorphous polyester polyol in the polyol component when preparing the polyurethane prepolymer of Heider.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Art Unit: 1712

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

P. Short

March 26, 2003

Phone (703) 308-2395

Fax (703) 872-9311

PATRICIA A SHORT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Popue a sht